

### REMARKS

The Examiner has required election of a single disclosed invention for prosecution on the merits in this case. The Examiner alleged the existence of two patentably distinct inventions:

Group I:        Claims 1 and 7, drawn to a fabrication method of a mold, classified in class 264, subclass 2.5; and

Group II:       Claim 9, drawn to a fabrication method of a microlens, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1.

Applicants hereby provisionally elect Group I, claims 1 and 7, without traverse.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jason M. Okun/  
Jason M. Okun  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 48,512

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200